#### 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

### Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-SB55)

Received	l: 06/16/2001				Received By: ku	esejt	
Wanted: Soon				Identical to LRB:			
For: Sen	ate Democrat	ic Caucus 6-22		By/Representing: Keckhaver			
This file	may be shown	to any legislat	or: <b>NO</b>		Drafter: kuesejt		
May Co	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:		
Subject:	State Fi	nance - claims	agnst st		Extra Copies:	TNF - 1	
Submit v	via email: NO						
Requeste	er's email:						
Pre Top	ic:						
SDC:	.Keckhaver - C	N2731,					
Topic:							
City of I	a Crosse clain	ı					
Instruct	ions:		<del> </del>				
See Atta	ched.						
Drafting	g History:						
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	kuesejt 06/16/2001	csicilia 06/17/2001					
/1			pgreensl 06/17/200	01	lrb_docadmin 06/17/2001		
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>	•		

#### 2001 DRAFTING REQUEST

#### Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-SB55)

Received: 06/16/2001	Received By: kue	esejt	
Wanted: Soon	Identical to LRB:		
For: Senate Democratic Caucus 6-2257	By/Representing:	Keckhaver	
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: kuesejt		
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:		
Subject: State Finance - claims agnst st	Extra Copies:	TNF - 1	
Submit via email: NO			
Requester's email:			
Pre Topic:			<u></u>
SDC:Keckhaver - CN2731,			
Topic:			-
City of La Crosse claim			
Instructions:			
See Attached.			
Drafting History:			
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofe  No. 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
FE Sent For:	l		

**<END>** 

			•
Subject or	Description	Source / connection	Fiscal effect /positions if known
Agency			-
DOA Claims	Pay claim for \$8,420 SEG (Transportation Fund)	AB 332	\$8,420 GPR
Board	for claim made by City of LaCrosse		
Claims	Pay claim for \$8,420 SEG (Transportation Fund) for claim made by City of LaCrosse	AB 332	\$8,420 GPR

JV

April 18, 2001 – Introduced by Representative Albers, cosponsored by Senator Burke, by request of Claims Board. Referred to Joint committee on Finance.

AN ACT to amend 20.395 (1) (ar) and 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes; relating to:

expenditure of \$8,420.92 from the transportation fund in payment of a claim

against the state made by the city of La Crosse and making an appropriation.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill appropriates \$8,420.92 from the transportation fund in payment of a claim against the department of transportation (DOT) by the city of La Crosse. Under s. 86.303 (5) (g), stats., municipalities having a population of 25,000 or more must file annual reports with DOT and the department of revenue (DOR) for purposes of administering state aid payments to municipalities. Under s. 86.303 (5) (i), stats., state aid payments are reduced by 1% for each working day that a report is filed late, subject to certain other limitations. In 1999, the city of La Crosse filed its report on the deadline, which is July 31. The report did not contain one required form. Upon being notified by DOR that the form was missing, the city faxed and mailed the form to DOR on the next working day following the deadline. Pursuant to s. 86.303 (5) (i), stats., DOT then deducted 1% from the general transportation aids payable to the city for the 2000 calendar year and distributed this amount to other municipalities. The city asserts that its failure to file a timely report was accidental, that it complied with the spirit of the law, and should not be penalized. DOT and DOR assert that the city should not have waited until the deadline to file its report and the city should not receive a waiver while other local governmental units have been penalized for filing late. The city claimed \$16,841.83, representing the amount of the penalty that was assessed against it. The claims board recommended payment of

1

2

3

4

5 .

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

\$8,420.92, representing 50% of the amount of the penalty that was assessed against the city, on March 6, 2000 (see 1999 Senate Journal, p. 484).

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

## The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. 86.30 (2) (f) 1. and to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 3.

**SECTION 2.** 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by 1999 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), is amended to read:

20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. 86.30 (2) (f) 1. and to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 3.

SECTION 3. Claim against the state. There is directed to be expended from the appropriation under section 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by the acts of 1999 and 2001, \$8,420.92 in payment of a claim against the state made by the city of La Crosse as partial reimbursement for the penalty that was assessed against the city for tardy filing of its annual report with the departments of transportation and revenue for 1999 under section 86.303 (5) (g) of the statutes. Acceptance of this payment releases this state and its officers, employees, and agents from any further liability relating to deduction of penalties from general transportation aids payable to the city for the 2000 calendar year.

**SECTION 4. Effective dates.** This act takes effect on the day after publication, except as follows:

3

1	(1) The treatment of section 20.395 (1) (ar) (by Section	2) of the statutes takes
2	effect on January 1, 2003.	•

(END)

#### Fiscal Estimate - 2001 Session

Original Updated	Corrected 2	Supplemental
LRB Number 01-2715/1	Introduction Number AB-	-332
Subject City of La Crosse claim		
Fiscal Effect		·
Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Reve Appropriations Create New Appropriations  Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Permissive Mandatory	issive Mandatory Counties CC	cy's budget
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Approp SEG SEGS 20.395(1)(ar)	riations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DOT/ Ramona Yee (608) 267-7224	Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979	05/07/2001

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 05/07/2001

LRB Number 01-2715/1	Introduction Number	AB-332	Estimate Type	Original
Subj <b>e</b> ct	•		•	
City of La Crosse claim				

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

One-time lump sum payment.

Claims and findings by State Claims Board.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

None.

#### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2001 Session

**Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect** 

	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemen	ital ·
LRB	Number (	01-2715/	1	lı	ntro	duction Nu	mber	AB-332	
Subjec	ct					<del></del>			
City of	La Crosse clair	m	•	• .					
			pacts for	State and	Vor L	ocal Govern	ment (do	not include in	<del></del>
annua	lized fiscal effe	ect):					none lào	not include in	
One tin	ne lump sum pa	avment of \$8	3.420.92 of	SEG fund	s to t	he city of La (	Crosse to	resolve claim	
	ualized Costs:							npact on funds	from:
						Increased Co		Decreased	
A. Stat	e Costs by Ca	tegory							
State	Operations - S	Salaries and	Fringes				\$		<del></del>
(FTE	Position Change	ges)							
State	Operations - C	Other Costs							
Loca	l Assistance	•							
	to Individuals o								
TC	TAL State Co	sts by Cate	gory				\$		\$
	e Costs by Soi	urce of Fund	ds						
GPR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
FED									
PRO		·				<del> </del>			
<del></del>	SEG-S			<u></u>			<u>_L</u>		
III. State (e.g., ta	e Revenues - ( x increase, de	Complete the crease in lie	is only wh cense fee,	en propo ets.)	sal w	vill increase o	or decrea	ise state revenu	les
					7	Increased R	ev	Decreased	Rev
GPR	Taxes						\$		\$
GPR	Earned								
FED									
PRO/	PRS								
SEG/	SEG-S								
ТО	TAL State Rev	enues/					\$	•	\$
		N	ET ANNUA	LIZED F	ISCA	L IMPACT			
* 1 +						<u>Sta</u>	<u>te</u>		Local
	IANGE IN COS						\$ .		\$
NET CH	IANGE IN REV	ENUE			·		\$		\$
							٠.		·
Agency	/Prepared By			Authoriz	ed S	ignature		Date	
DOT/ R	amona Yee (60	8) 267-7224		Carol Bu	ckma	ster (608) 267	7-6979	05/07/	2001

MK

#### CLAIM AGAINST DOT BY THE CITY OF LA CROSSE

This amendment appropriates \$8,420.92 from the transportation fund in payment of a claim against the department of transportation (DOT) by the city of La Crosse. Under s. 86,303 (5)(g), stats., municipalities having a population of 25,000 or more must file annual reports with DOT and the department of revenue (DOR) for purposes of administering state aid payments to municipalities. Under s. 86,303 (5)(I), stats., state aid payments are reduced by 1% for each working day that a report is filed late, subject to certain other limitations. In 1999, the City of La Crosse filed its report on the deadline, which is July 31. The report did not contain one required form. Upon being notified by DOR that the form was missing, the city faxed and mailed the form to DOR on the next working day following the deadline. Pursuant to s. 86.303 (5)(I), stats., DOT then deducted 1% from the general transportation aids payable to the city for the 2000 calendar year and distributed this amount to other municipalities. The city asserts that its failure to file a timely report was accidental, that it complied with the spirit of the law, and should not be penalized. DOT and DOR assert that the city should not have waited until the deadline to file its report and the city should not receive a waiver while other local governmental units have been penalized for filing late. The city claimed \$16,841.83, representing the amount of the penalty that was assessed against it. The claims board recommended payment of \$8,420.92, representing 50% of the amount of the penalty that was assessed against the city, on March 6, 2000 (see 1999 Senate Journal, p.

LOCATION/STATUS:

Assembly Bill 332

DEPARTMENT(S):

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

FISCAL IMPACT:

\$8,420.92

FUNDING SOURCE(S):

GPR

INTRODUCTION:

SDC

POLITICAL:

AB332

.:Hide ▲ lient ▲ .c

LRB-2715/1 JTK::jld::jf

#### **2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE**

### 2001 ASSEMBLY BILL 332

Link to Bill History

April 18, 2001 - Introduced by Representative Albers, cosponsored by Senator Burke, by request of Claims Board. Referred to Joint committee on Finance.

PglLnl PglLn2 PglLn3 An Act to amend 20.395 (1) (ar) and 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes; relating to: expenditure of \$8,420.92 from the transportation fund in payment of a claim against the state made by the city of La Crosse and making an appropriation.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

AB332

This bill appropriates \$8,420.92 from the transportation fund in payment of a claim against the department of transportation (DOT) by the city of La Crosse. Under s. 86.303 (5) (g), stats., municipalities having a population of 25,000 or more must file annual reports with DOT and the department of revenue (DOR) for purposes of administering state aid payments to municipalities. Under s. 86.303 (5) (i), stats., state aid payments are reduced by 1% for each working day that a report is filed late, subject to certain other limitations. In 1999, the city of La Crosse filed its report on the deadline, which is July 31. The report did not contain one required form. Upon being notified by DOR that the form was missing, the city faxed and mailed the form to DOR on the next working day following the deadline. Pursuant to s. 86.303 (5) (i), stats., DOT then deducted 1% from the general transportation aids payable to the city for the 2000 calendar year and distributed this amount to other municipalities. The city asserts that its failure to file a timely report was accidental, that it complied with the spirit of the law, and should not be penalized. DOT and DOR assert that the city should not have waited until the deadline to file its report and the city should not receive a waiver while other local governmental units have been penalized for filing late. The city claimed \$16,841.83, representing the amount of the penalty that was assessed against it. The claims board recommended payment of \$8,420.92, representing 50% of the amount of the penalty that was assessed against the city, on March 6, 2000 (see 1999 Senate Journal, p. 484).

For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

AB332, s. 1

400

Section 1. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

AB332, s. 1 - continued



Document 20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient Pg2Ln2 to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. 86.30 (2) (f) 1. and Pg2Ln3 to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 3. Pg2Ln4

'AB332, s. 2

Section 2. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by 1999 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), is amended to read: пб

AB332, s. 2 - continued

20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient Pg2Ln7 to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. 86.30 (2) (f) 1. and Pg2Ln8 to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act (this act), section 3. Pg2Ln9

AB332, s. 3

Section 3. Claim against the state. There is directed to be expended from Pg2Ln10 the appropriation under section 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by the acts Pg2Ln11 of 1999 and 2001, \$8,420.92 in payment of a claim against the state made by the city Pg2Ln12 of La Crosse as partial reimbursement for the penalty that was assessed against the Pg2Ln13 city for tardy filing of its annual report with the departments of transportation and Pg2Ln14 Pg2Ln15 revenue for 1999 under section 86.303 (5) (g) of the statutes. Acceptance of this payment releases this state and its officers, employees, and agents from any further Pg2Ln16 liability relating to deduction of penalties from general transportation aids payable Pg2Ln17 to the city for the 2000 calendar year. Pg2Ln18

AB332, s. 4

Section 4. Effective dates. This act takes effect on the day after publication, Pg2Ln19 except as follows: Pg2Ln20

AB332, s. 4 - continued

(1) The treatment of section 20.395 (1) (ar) (by Section 2) of the statutes takes Pg3Ln1 effect on January 1, 2003. Pg3Ln2

(End) Pg3Ln3

AB333

LRB-0238/2 JTK::kmg::km

#### **2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE**

## 2001 ASSEMBLY BILL 333

Link to Bill History

April 18, 2001 - Introduced by Representative Albers, cosponsored by Senator Shibilski, by request of Claims Board. Referred to Joint committee on Finance.

An Act relating to: expenditure of \$10,097.23 from moneys appropriated to the PglLnl department of administration in payment of a claim against the state made by Pg1Ln2 Pg1Ln3

Jodi Dabson Bollendorf.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

**AB333** 

Document This bill directs expenditure of \$10,097.23 from moneys appropriated to the department of administration (DOA) for the 1999-2001 fiscal biennium to pay the salaries and tringe benefits of district attorneys and state employees in the offices of district attorneys and certain other related costs in payment of a claim against DOA made by Jodi Dabson Bollendorf. The claimant is employed by the state as an assistant district attorney in Rock County. In January 1999, the claimant received notice from the Board of Attorneys Professional Responsibility (BAPR) that an ethics grievance had been filed against her relating to alleged false statements that she made to the circuit court at a sentencing hearing. The claimant retained private legal counsel to represent her during an investigation of the complaint conducted by BAPR. In November 1999, the administrator of BAPR found no violation by the claimant of the rules of professional responsibility and dismissed the complaint. The claimant claimed reimbursement for \$10,097.23 in attorney fees which she incurred in the matter.

AB333

Under s. 895.46 (1), stats., the state must either provide representation or pay attorney fees for a state employee who is a defendant in an action or proceeding arising with respect to a matter within the scope of employment, if the employee gives timely notice to his or her department head. Under s. 165.25 (6) (a), stats., the attorney general may appear for any state employee in a matter brought before an administrative agency at the request of the employee's department head. In the instant case, the claimant did not notify DOA and DOA did not request representation by the attorney general. However, the claims board recommended payment of this claim on July 13, 2000, based upon equitable principles. See 1999 Senate Journal, pp. 704-705.

For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

## The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

AB333, s. 1

Section 1. Claim against the state. There is directed to be expended from Pg2Ln1 the appropriation under section 20.475 (1) (d) of the statutes, as affected by the acts Pg2Ln2 of 1999 and 2001, \$10,097.23 in payment of a claim against the state made by Jodi Pg2Ln3 Dabson Bollendorf, Janesville, Wisconsin, as reimbursement for attorney fees Pg2Ln4 incurred in defending herself in the investigation of an ethics grievance filed against Pg2Ln5 her with the Board of Attorneys Professional Responsibility in 1999. Acceptance of Pg2Ln6 this payment releases this state and its officers, employees, and agents from any Pg2Ln7 further liability resulting from expenses incurred by the claimant in defending Pg2Ln8 herself in this investigation. Pg2Ln9 (End) Pg2Ln10

`

**AB334** 

LRB-3031/1 JTK::kmg::ch

#### **2001 - 2002 LEGISLATURE**

## 2001 ASSEMBLY BILL 334 Link to Bill History

April 18, 2001 - Introduced by Representative Albers, cosponsored by Senator Shibilski, by request of Claims Board. Referred to Joint committee on Finance.

Pg!Ln1 Pg1La2

, An Act Relating to: expenditure of \$50,119 from the general fund in payment of a claim against the state made by Milwaukee County.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

**AB334** 

This bill directs expenditure of \$50,119 from the general fund in payment of a claim made by Milwaukee County against the state. Under s. 5.72 (1), 1987 stats., county and municipal clerks and boards of election commissioners preparing ballots were directed to submit copies of the ballots, or proof copies, to the state elections board for review of possible errors. The board was directed to notify the clerk or board of election commissioners of any errors that it discovered within seven days after submittal. At the 1988 general election, the Milwaukee County board of election commissioners did not submit ballots or proof copies. On October 12, 1988, the state elections board issued an order finding that the county's ballots for the 1988 general election did not comply with s. 5.64 (3), 1987 stats., which required a separate presidential ballot, or with s. 5.64 (1) (a) and (3) (a), 1987 stats., which required specific voting instructions in plain, legible type, and required ballot titles to appear in lettering at least three-eighths inch high. The elections board ordered the county to reprint its ballots for the city of Milwaukee and village of Shorewood. The county incurred costs of \$62,438 and claimed this amount. On February 5, 1990, the claims board recommended approval of the county's claim in the amount of \$50,119, representing the cost of the ballots that were destroyed as a result of the order of the elections board (see 1989 Senate Journal, pp. 683-684).

For further information see the state fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.







Date (time)

SOUN

LRB b 1087/1

#### AMDT TO BUDGET SUB AMDT

JAR: CIS:

See form AMENDMENTS — COMPONENTS & ITEMS.

# SENATE AMENDMENT TO SENATE AMENDMENT \_\_\_\_\_, TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment language as follows:

#. Page 341, line 15 after that line wesert.

#. Page ....; line ....:

**#.** Page . . . , line . . . :

**#.** Page . . . , line . . . :

**#.** Page . . . , line . . . :

**#.** Page . . . , line . . . :

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

\$8,420.92, representing 50% of the amount of the penalty that was assessed against the city, on March 6, 2000 (see 1999 Separte Journal, p. 484).

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 2. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. 86.30 (2) (f) 1. and to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 3.

SECTION 2. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by 1999 Wisconsin Act ....

(this act), is amended to read:

to the city for the 2000 calendar year.

Haye 1426, line 15: efter fat line insert.

SECTION 4. Effective dates. This act takes effect on the day after publication,

liability relating to deduction of penalties from general transportation aids payable

except as follows:

Non Stat

9159 (3 q

The treatment of section 20.395 (1) (ar) (by Section 2) of the statutes takes

effect on January 1, 2003. 2

(END)

3

1

## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

blosilida LRBb<del>o791/Id</del>n JTK:emh:km

June 22, 1999

S

It is possible that a Wisconsin court would find that this amendment is a "private or local law" which, under art. IV, sec. 18, of the Wisconsin Constitution, must be enacted as single—subject legislation. If so, this amendment cannot validly be enacted as part of the budget bill, which clearly encompasses more than one subject. Under *Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club v. Wisconsin Dept. of Health and Social Services*, 130 Wis. 2d 79, 115 (1986), "a legislative provision which is specific to any person, place or thing is a private or local law within the meaning of art. 4, sec. 18, unless: 1) the general subject matter of the provision relates to a state responsibility of statewide dimension; and 2) its enactment will have direct and immediate effect on a specific statewide concern or interest". As it is difficult to predict the potential for and outcome of any court action on this amendment, you may wish to consider introducing this proposal as a separate bill.

Jeffery T. Kuesel Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–6778

## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb1087/1dn JTK:cjs:pg

June 17, 2001

It is possible that a Wisconsin court would find that this amendment is a "private or local law" which, under art. IV, sec. 18, of the Wisconsin Constitution, must be enacted as single—subject legislation. If so, this amendment cannot validly be enacted as part of the budget bill, which clearly encompasses more than one subject. Under Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club v. Wisconsin Dept. of Health and Social Services, 130 Wis. 2d 79, 115 (1986), "a legislative provision which is specific to any person, place or thing is a private or local law within the meaning of art. 4, sec. 18, unless: 1) the general subject matter of the provision relates to a state responsibility of statewide dimension; and 2) its enactment will have direct and immediate effect on a specific statewide concern or interest". As it is difficult to predict the potential for and outcome of any court action on this amendment, you may wish to consider introducing this proposal as a separate bill.

Jeffery T. Kuesel Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–6778 SDC:.....Keckhaver - CN2731, City of La Crosse claim

#### FOR 2001-03 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

# CAUCUS SENATE AMENDMENT TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2001 SENATE BILL 55

Т	At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
2	1. Page 341, line 15: after that line insert:
3	"Section 632m. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient
5	to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. $86.30(2)(f)$ 1. and
6	to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act (this act), section 9159 (3q).
7	SECTION 632n. 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by 1999 Wisconsin Act
8	(this act), is amended to read:

20.395 (1) (ar) Corrections of transportation aid payments. A sum sufficient to make the corrections of transportation aid payments under s. 86.30 (2) (f) 1. and to make the payment specified in 2001 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9159 (3q).".

#### 2. Page 1378, line 9: after that line insert:

"(3q) CITY OF LA CROSSE CLAIM. There is directed to be expended from the appropriation under section 20.395 (1) (ar) of the statutes, as affected by the acts of 1999 and 2001, \$8,420.92 in payment of a claim against the state made by the city of La Crosse as partial reimbursement for the penalty that was assessed against the city for tardy filing of its annual report with the departments of transportation and revenue for 1999 under section 86.303 (5) (g) of the statutes. Acceptance of this payment releases this state and its officers, employees, and agents from any further liability relating to deduction of penalties from general transportation aids payable to the city for the 2000 calendar year."

#### 3. Page 1426, line 15: after that line insert:

"(10q) Correction of transportation and payments. The treatment of section 20.395 (1) (ar) (by Section 632n) of the statutes takes effect on January 1, 2003.".

(END)